

In the United States Court of Federal Claims

OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

No. 17-0147V

(not to be published)

M.B. and J.B., on behalf of their Minor
Child, J.B.,

Petitioner,

v.

SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND
HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

Chief Special Master Corcoran

Filed: August 11, 2021

Special Processing Unit (SPU);
Attorney's Fees and Costs

Andrew Donald Downing, Van Cott & Talamante, PLLC, Phoenix, AZ, for Petitioner.

Heather Lynn Pearlman, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for Respondent.

DECISION ON ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS¹

On January 31, 2017, M.B. and J.B., on behalf of their Minor Child, J.B., ("Petitioner") filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*² (the "Vaccine Act"). Petitioners allege that J.B. suffered morphea as a result of receiving the Diphtheria Tetanus acellular Pertussis and Polio Inactivated Virus vaccines on July 27, 2015. (Petition at 1). On

¹ Because this unpublished Decision contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, I am required to post it on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2012) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). **This means the Decision will be available to anyone with access to the internet.** In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), Petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, I agree that the identified material fits within this definition, I will redact such material from public access.

² National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all "§" references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2012).

December 20, 2019, a decision was issued awarding compensation to Petitioner based on the parties' stipulation. (ECF No. 64).

Petitioner has now filed a motion for attorney's fees and costs, dated July 9, 2021, (ECF No. 78), requesting a total award of \$69,745.73 (representing \$64,822.00 in fees and \$4,923.73 in costs). In accordance with General Order No. 9, counsel for Petitioner represents that Petitioner incurred no out-of-pocket expenses. (*Id.* at 4). Respondent reacted to the motion on June 16, 2021, indicating that he is satisfied that the statutory requirements for an award of attorney's fees and costs are met in this case, and defers resolution of the amount to be awarded to my discretion. (ECF No. 79). By email correspondence on July 16, 2021, counsel for Petitioner informed the staff attorneys office that Petitioner did not intend to file a reply. *See Informal Remark*, July 16, 2021.

I have reviewed the billing records submitted with Petitioner's request. In my experience, the request appears reasonable, and I find no cause to reduce the requested hours or rates.

The Vaccine Act permits an award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs. Section 15(e). Accordingly, I hereby GRANT Petitioner's Motion for attorney's fees and costs. I award a total of **\$69,745.73** (representing \$64,822.00 in fees and \$4,923.73 in costs) as a lump sum in the form of a check jointly payable to Petitioner and Petitioner's counsel. In the absence of a timely-filed motion for review (see Appendix B to the Rules of the Court), the Clerk shall enter judgment in accordance with this decision.³

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Brian H. Corcoran
Brian H. Corcoran
Chief Special Master

³ Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), the parties may expedite entry of judgment by filing a joint notice renouncing their right to seek review.